

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,294	04/01/2004	Paul Thurk	040897-0114	6127
75	590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER	
Stephen B. Maebius			WON, BUMSUK	
Foley & Lardne			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington Ha	rbour		AKI ONII	FAFER NOMBER
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500			2879	
Washington, D	C 20007-5143		DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/814,294	THURK, PAUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bumsuk Won	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	February 2006.				
,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 13-16,18,19,22,23,30,32-40,42-46 a	a <u>nd 52-66</u> is/are pending in	the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	450.00:4				
6) Claim(s) <u>13-16,18,19,22,23,30,32-40,42-46 a</u>	and 52-66 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
,	•				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		by the Everiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted an accepted and applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	•		•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	-	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	•	received			
See the attached detailed Office action for a fix	st of the definited copies no	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Korgel (US 6,918,946).

Korgel discloses a light-emitting device (figure 5) comprising: nanoparticles (58) which comprises a group IV semiconductor and a capping agent coupled to the group IV semiconductor (paragraph 10), wherein the nanoparticles have an average diameter of between about 0.5 nm to about 15 nm (paragraph 10); and a first electrode (54) and a second electrode (56) electrically coupled to the nanoparticles; wherein the first and the second electrodes together are configured to conduct an applied current to the nanoparticles (paragraph 30), wherein the nanoparticles produce light in response to the applied current (paragraph 30). "Ceiling tile" in the preamble is an intended use language and does not differentiate from the device disclosed by Korgel, therefore, no patentable weight is given (MPEP 2111).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,294 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13-16, 19, 22, 23, 30, 32-34, 36, 38, 42-46 and 52-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikhael (US 2003/0080677) in view of Korgel (US 6,918,946).
- 5. Regarding claim 13, Mikhael discloses a light-emitting device (figure 2) comprising a substrate (46) and a planar light-emitting subassembly (other items) shaped like the substrate (shape of 46 is a flat tube while other items' shape is a flat tube) and disposed on the substrate in a laminar arrangement (figure 2), wherein the substrate comprises two opposing flat faces and a perimeter (46 has top and bottom flat faces and perimeter), and the light-emitting subassembly comprises two opposing flat faces and a perimeter (other items have top and bottom flat faces and perimeter). Mikhael also discloses the light-emitting device is used as light source for ceiling (paragraph 14).

Mikhael does not disclose the subassembly comprising light-emitting group IV nanoparticles.

Art Unit: 2879

Korgel discloses a light-emitting device (figure 5) comprising light-emitting group IV nanoparticles (paragraph 139), for the purpose of emitting visible light (paragraph 139).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have light-emitting group IV nanoparticles disclosed by Korgel in the light-emitting device disclosed by Mikhael, for the purpose of emitting visible light.

Regarding claim 14, Mikhael discloses a first electrical insulation layer (44), upon which is disposed a first electrode layer (42), upon which is disposed a light emitting layer (48, 50), upon which is disposed a second electrode (52), upon which is disposed a second electrical insulation layer (56).

Mikhael does not disclose the light-emitting layer comprises light-emitting group IV nanoparticles.

Korgel discloses a light-emitting device (figure 5) comprising a light-emitting layer (58) having light-emitting group IV nanoparticles (paragraph 139), for the purpose of emitting visible light (paragraph 139). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 13 above.

Regarding claim 15, Mikhael discloses the first electrical insulation layer and the first electrode layer are substantially transparent to the light emitted by the light-emitting layer (paragraph 26).

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 16, Mikhael discloses the light-emitting device is adapted to provide contact (figure 2, 58) with a voltage source (paragraph 26).

Regarding claim 19, Mikhael discloses an electron transport layer (50) and a hole transport layer (48).

Regarding claims 22, 23, 52, 53 and 54, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are core-shell nanoparticles comprising silicon (paragraph 105). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 13 above.

Regarding claim 30, Korgel discloses white light is emitted using the nanoparticle (paragraph 141). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 13 above.

Regarding claims 43-46, use of the light-emitting device for emergency lighting (claim 43), in-door lighting (claim 44), track lighting (claim 45) and direct lighting of an airplane interior (claim 46) are intended use language, and does not differentiate from structure of the light-emitting device disclosed by Mikhael in view of Korgel, therefore, no patentable weight is given to these claims.

Regarding claim 55, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are Ge nanoparticles (paragraph 126). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 13 above.

Regarding claim 56, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are Si-Ge alloy nanoparticles (paragraph 118). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 13 above.

Art Unit: 2879

6. Regarding claim 32, Mikhael discloses a light-emitting subassembly (figure 2) comprising a first electrode layer (42), a light-emitting layer (48, 50), and a second electrode layer (52), wherein the subassembly comprises two opposing faces and a perimeter edge (top and bottom surfaces and vertical edges), and wherein the first electrode is transparent to the light emitted by the light-emitting layer (paragraph 26). Mikhael also discloses the light-emitting device is used as light source for ceiling (paragraph 14).

Mikhael does not disclose the subassembly comprising light-emitting group IV nanoparticles.

Korgel discloses a light-emitting device (figure 5) comprising light-emitting group IV nanoparticles (paragraph 139), for the purpose of emitting visible light (paragraph 139).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have light-emitting group IV nanoparticles disclosed by Korgel in the light-emitting device disclosed by Mikhael, for the purpose of emitting visible light.

Regarding claim 33, Mikhael discloses the light-emitting device is adapted to provide contact (figure 2, 58) with a voltage source (paragraph 26).

Regarding claim 34, Korgel discloses the nanostructures are nanoparticles (paragraph 139). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 32 above.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claims 36 and 38, Mikhael discloses an electron transport layer (50) and a hole transport layer (48).

Regarding claims 57, 60 and 61, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are core-shell nanoparticles comprising silicon (paragraph 105). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 32 above.

Regarding claim 58, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are Ge nanoparticles (paragraph 126). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 32 above.

Regarding claim 59, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are Si-Ge alloy nanoparticles (paragraph 118). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 32 above.

Regarding claim 42, Mikhael discloses a method of making a light-emitting subassembly (figure 2) combining a light-emitting layer (48, 50), first and second electrode layers (42, 52), and first and second electrical insulation layers (44, 56), wherein the layers are in laminar arrangement (figure 5), wherein the first electrode is disposed on the first electrical insulation layer, and the first and the first electrical insulation layer are transparent (paragraph 26).

Mikhael does not disclose the light-emitting layer comprising light-emitting group IV nanoparticles.

Art Unit: 2879

Korgel discloses a light-emitting layer (figure 5, 58) comprising light-emitting group IV nanoparticles (paragraph 139), for the purpose of emitting visible light (paragraph 139).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have light-emitting group IV nanoparticles in a light-emitting layer disclosed by Korgel in the method of making a light-emitting subassembly disclosed by Mikhael, for the purpose of emitting visible light.

Regarding claims 62, 65 and 66, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are core-shell nanoparticles comprising silicon (paragraph 105). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 42 above.

Regarding claim 63, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are Ge nanoparticles (paragraph 126). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 42 above.

Regarding claim 64, Korgel discloses the group IV nanoparticles are Si-Ge alloy nanoparticles (paragraph 118). The reason for combining is the same as for claim 42 above.

8. Claims 18, 35, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikhael (US 2003/0080677) in view of Korgel (US 6,918,946), in further view of Forrest (US 2004/0031966).

Mikhael in view of Korgel does not disclose a reflective layer.

Art Unit: 2879

Forrest discloses a light-emitting device (figure 1) having a reflective layer (160), for the purpose of emitting light in one direction.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a reflective layer disclosed by Forrest in the light-emitting device disclosed by Mikhael in view of Korgel, for the purpose of emitting light in one direction.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 2/13/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-16, 18, 19, 22, 23, 30, 32-40, 42-46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bumsuk Won whose telephone number is 571-272-2713. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,294 Page 10

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bumsuk Won

Patent Examiner